

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02074 251417Z

53

ACTION DLOS-05

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 ACDA-05 AGR-05 AID-05 CEA-01

CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 CIEP-01 OFA-01 COME-00 DODE-00

DOT-00 EB-07 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FEAE-00 FMC-01 H-02

INR-07 INT-05 JUSE-00 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01

OES-03 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06

EUR-12 SAJ-01 /116 W

----- 089378

R 251332Z MAR 75

FM US MISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1638

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 2074

FROM USDEL LOS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: SOVIET ARTICLES ON MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

SUMMARY: SOVIETS INDICATED NO FLEXIBILITY ON THEIR DRAFT MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ARTICLES, SUBSTANCE OF WHICH SET FORTH IN RETEL. SOVIETS ALSO STATED INTENT TO INTRODUCE ARTICLES AT EARLIEST OPPORTUNITY. END SUMMARY.

1. MEETING WITH SOVIET DELOFFS FEDEROV AND KOVALEV TO DISCUSS THEIR MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ARTICLES OCCURRED ON MARCH 21. KOVALEV INDICATED THAT THEIR DRAFT TEXT WAS NOT SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATION AND THEY HAD NO FLEXIBILITY IN THEIR POSITION. WHEN QUERIED WHETHER DISTINCTION BETWEEN RESEARCH RELATED AND NON-RESOURCE RELATED RESEARCH, WOULD BE SUPPORTED, THEY REPLIED AFFIRMATIVELY ALTHOUGH THEY ADDED THAT CANADIANS HAD NOT BEEN RECEPTIVE. ASKED IF CANADIAN RESPONSE DID NOT RAISE SERIOUS DIFFI-
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02074 251417Z

CULTIES IN NEGOTIATING SUCH A DISTINCTION, THEY REPLIED

THEY DID NOT BELIEVE THAT A CONSENT REGIME FOR ALL RESEARCH WAS INEVITABLE AND THEIR POSITION WAS FIRM.

2. IN RESPONSE TO REPEATED QUESTIONING CONCERNING WHO WOULD MAKE THE DECISION WHETHER THE RESEARCH WAS RESOURCE-RELATED OR NOT, FEDEROV RESPONDED THAT THE RESEARCHING STATE WOULD MAKE THE DETERMINATION. AFTER FURTHER QUESTIONING, KOVALEV ADDED THAT DISPUTE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES WOULD APPLY TO THE ENTIRE CONVENTION INCLUDING SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. BOTH SIDES AGREED TO DISAGREE ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH.

3. DISCUSSION THEN TURNED TO QUESTION OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. SOVIETS HAD PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED US WITH A COPY OF DRAFT ARTICLES ON TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. KOVALEV STRESSED THAT DRAFT WAS HIS OWN PERSONAL EFFORT AND HAD NOT BEEN CLEARED WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF SOVIET DELEGATION. HE CONTINUED THAT NO DECISION HAD BEEN REACHED AS TO WHETHER ANY ARTICLES WOULD BE TABLED OR THE CONTENT OF THEM. SOVIET DRAFT IS BASED UPON L.12 TABLED BY GROUP OF 77 IN CARACAS IN THE CLOSING DAYS OF THAT SESSION.

4. L.12 HAS A PROVISION CALLING UPON STATES TO QUOTE FACILITATE THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY, INCLUDING KNOW-HOW AND PATENTED AND NON-PATENTED TECHNOLOGY. END QUOTE. SOVIET VERSION WAS IDENTICAL BUT DELETED REFERENCE TO NON-PATENTED TECHNOLOGY. KOVALEV EXPLAINED THAT IN SOVIET UNION NON-PATENTED TECHNOLOGY WAS HELD BY STATE AND COULD NOT BE FULLY TRANSFERRED. HE APPEARED SYMPATHETIC TO OUR DIFFICULTIES WITH INCLUSION OF QUOTE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY END QUOTE SINCE SUCH TECHNOLOGY COULD ONLY BE TRANSFERRED BY PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS WHO OWN IT.

5. ARTICLE 3 OF SOVIET TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER FLRO PROVIDES THAT AUTHORITY QUOTE IN ANY OF ITS UNDERTAKINGS FOR EXPLORATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL AREA, EXPLOITATION OF ITS RESOURCES AND RELATED ACTIVITIES END QUOTE WILL ENSURE, AMONG OTHER THINGS, A) TRAINING OF DEVELOPING COUNTRY NATIONALS, AND B) TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION ON RELEVANT EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL DEVELOPING STATES UPON REQUEST.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02074 251417Z

ING COUNTRY NATIONALS, AND B) TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION ON RELEVANT EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL DEVELOPING STATES UPON REQUEST. KOVALEV STATED THAT SOVIET POSITION FAVORED A DUAL REGIME, THAT OBLIGATION WAS ONLY UPON THE AUTHORITY WHEN IT ENGAGED IN RESOURCE ACTIVITIES AND NOT UPON STATES WHO HAD RECEIVED AUTHORIZATION FROM THE AUTHORITY. DALE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLICIES, OCEANOGRAPHY, LAW OF THE SEA, TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGES, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 25 MAR 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975GENEVA02074
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750104-0160
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750319/aaaaaqsj.tel
Line Count: 115
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION DLOS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 JUN 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17 JUN 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <18 JUN 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: SOVIET ARTICLES ON MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH SUMMARY: SOVIETS INDICATED NO FLEXIBILITY ON THEIR DRAFT
TAGS: PLOS, UR
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006